



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,492	01/15/2004	Hae-Jeung Lee	P56928	4160

7590

08/11/2005

Robert E. Bushnell

Suite 300

1522 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-1202

EXAMINER

BRINEY III, WALTER F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2646

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/757,492

Applicant(s)

LEE, HAE-JEUNG

Examiner

Walter F. Briney III

Art Unit

2646

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/15/04; 6/15/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the field-effect transistor elements of claims 7 and 13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. **Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horiuchi (US Patent 5,220,597).**

**Claim 1** is limited to *a key signal scanning apparatus of a complex telephone operated by using external power and by using a loop voltage when the external power is not supplied*. Horiuchi discloses a dialing apparatus for a power failure extension telephone set of a key telephone system. See Abstract. The dialing circuit includes a control (19) that is powered by a voltage  $V_1$  generated by a stabilizer (12) from a 24V supply (29) receiving a commercial power supply voltage (i.e. *using external power*). See figure 2 and column 4, line 54, through column 5, line 8. When commercial power supply voltage is lost due to any number of external events, the relay circuits (6), (13a) and (52) alternatively supply subscriber loop DC feed to a power circuit (57), which in turn generates a secondary voltage  $V_2$  for powering the dialing apparatus (i.e. *using loop voltage when the external power is not supplied*). Horiuchi discloses that the combined presence of both commercial and loop voltages requires that safeguards be in place to keep each power network isolated from each other. To this end, figure 5 illustrates an apparatus for scanning a keypad matrix using either the control circuit (19) or the dialing signal transmit circuit (20). In particular, the keypad matrix (31/32) includes a plurality of rows and columns with a plurality of keys (0-9, \* and #). It is

Art Unit: 2646

noted that the terms column and row as recited are relative terms, merely distinguishing a correspondence between the elements thereof, but do not indicate a physical orientation such as vertical or horizontal per se. With respect to the claimed subject matter, the control circuit (19) corresponds to the *main microprocessor* as it operates using the commercial power supply (i.e. *external power*) and the dial signal transmit circuit (20) corresponds to the *sub microprocessor* as it operates *when external power is not supplied*. Both microprocessors operate by supplying sequential pulses to the keypad matrix (32) on lines (33) and detecting a closed circuit path signal over lines (34), the sequential pulses corresponding to the *timing signal* and the closed circuit signal corresponding to the *key signal*. See column 6, lines 36-68. As noted above, the two microprocessors are isolated from each other. One element for obtaining isolation is the diode matrix (22), which corresponds to the *first separator circuit* as claimed because it prevents current flow from the output of the main microprocessor to the output of the sub microprocessor. A second element for obtaining isolation is depicted in figure 6 as MOS pass gate (211) and corresponds to a *second separator circuit*. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 2** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 1*, as covered by Horiuchi. Figure 6 includes a second MOS pass gate (212) that corresponds to a *third separator circuit* because it prevents current flow between the inputs of circuits (19) and (20) based on the presence of external power as evidenced by the signal  $V_1$  (i.e. *external power*) being connected to the gates of the pass gate. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 3** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 2*, as covered by Horiuchi. The pass gate circuit (212) that comprises each individual switch depicted in the control switch circuit (21) of figure 5 (i.e. *connected to each column port of the keypad and to each column port of the sub microprocessor*) is considered a *resistive element* because of its intrinsic effect on current flow and its ability to isolate the processors (19) and (20). Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 4** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 2*, as covered by Horiuchi. As seen from figure 6, the *second separator* (211) has one side connected to the *main microprocessor* (19) and a second side connected to the keypad outputs as well as the first side of the *third separator* (212), which has a second side connected to the *sub microprocessor*. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 5** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 1*, as covered by Horiuchi. The diode matrix (22) corresponding to the *first separator circuit* clearly couples the output of the control circuit (19) with each anode of each diode, while the cathodes of the diodes couple with the keypad matrix (32). Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 6** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 1*, as covered by Horiuchi. The CMOS circuits are responsive to bipolar inputs, thus corresponding to *bipolar transistor elements* connected as recited. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 7** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 1*, as covered by Horiuchi. Figure 6 clearly implements the *second separator* (211) using *field-effect*

*transistors with source and drain terminals arranged as recited. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.*

**Claim 8** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 1*, as covered by Horiuchi. As seen in figure 5, the *first separator circuit (22)* has its input connected to the output of the *main microprocessor (19)* and its output connected to the keypad matrix (32) as well as the output of the *sub microprocessor (20)*. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 9** recites essentially the same limitations as claim 2, as covered by Horiuchi, with the exception that only two separator circuits are claimed. As understood, the first separator of claim 9 corresponds to the second separator of claim 2 and the second separator of claim 9 corresponds to the third separator of claim 2. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 10** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 9*, as covered by Horiuchi. As shown in the rejection of claim 3, Horiuchi discloses resistive elements (212) connected in circuit as claimed. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 11** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 9*, as covered by Horiuchi. As shown in the rejection of claim 4, Horiuchi discloses a separator connected as claimed. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 12** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 9*, as covered by Horiuchi. As shown in the rejection of claim 6, Horiuchi discloses bipolar transistor elements as claimed. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

**Claim 13** is limited to *the key signal scanning apparatus of claim 9*, as covered by Horiuchi. As shown in the rejection of claim 7, Horiuchi discloses field-effect transistor elements as claimed. Therefore, Horiuchi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F. Briney III whose telephone number is 571-272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



**SINH TRAN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

WFB  
8/5/05